





Memorandum

RECEIVED

DATE:

TO:

August 1, 2008

Kay Kilger

Docket Control

7008 AUG -1 P 2: 26

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

FROM:

Aikaterine Vervilos

Securities Division

RE:

Stanley Lane Boblett, et al.

Docket No. S-20609A-08-0398

Assigned Staff

CC:

Emie R. Bridges

This is to notify you that the following individuals have been assigned to the abovementioned case.

Matthew J. Neubert

Julie Coleman

Aikaterine Vervilos (Staff Attorney)

Michael Rice (Staff Investigator)

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

AUG -1 2008

DOCKETED BY

an

ORIGINAL

In the matter of:

NEW APPLICATION

RECEIVED

2

3

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

NOTICE:

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

JURISDICTION

1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and the Securities Act.

I.

AZ CORP COMMUSSION

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

AUG - 1 2008

DOCKETED BY

ling

DOCKET NO. S-20609A-08-0398

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING REGARDING PROPOSED ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, ORDER FOR RESTITUTION, FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES, AND FOR OTHER AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

Respondents.

COMMISSIONERS

MIKE GLEASON, Chairman

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL JEFF HATCH-MILLER

KRISTIN K. MAYES GARY PIERCE

#2209980)(a/k/a Lane Boblett) and ANTONIA)

BOBLETT (a/k/a Toni Boblett and/or Antonia)

PACIFIC COAST HOLDING COMPANY, a)

STANLEY LANE BOBLETT (CRD

HALL OF FAME PARTNERS, LLC, a

Delaware Limited Liability Company,

Loera-Marks), husband and wife,

Delaware Corporation; and

EACH RESPONDENT HAS 10 DAYS TO REQUEST A HEARING

EACH RESPONDENT HAS 30 DAYS TO FILE AN ANSWER

The Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") alleges that Respondents Stanley Lane Boblett (a/k/a Lane Boblett), Pacific Coast Holding Company, and Hall of Fame Partners, LLC have engaged in acts, practices, and transactions that constitute violations of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801 *et seq.* ("Securities Act").

1
1
_

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

II.

RESPONDENTS

- 2. Stanley Lane Boblett (a/k/a Lane Boblett)("BOBLETT") is an individual who at all relevant times offered and sold securities within or from Arizona.
- 3. Antonia Boblett (a/k/a Toni Boblett and/or Antonia Loera-Marks) ("T. BOBLETT") has been at all relevant times the spouse of Respondent BOBLETT. T. BOBLETT may be referred to as "Respondent Spouse." Respondent Spouse is joined in this action under A.R.S. § 44-2031(C) solely for purposes of determining the liability of the marital community.
 - 4. Pacific Coast Holding Company ("PCH") is a company incorporated in Delaware.
 - 5. BOBLETT is a Director of PCH.
 - 6. Hall of Fame Partners, LLC ("FAME") is a Delaware Limited Liability Company.
 - 7. BOBLETT is a "Director" (sic) of FAME.
- 8. At all relevant times, BOBLETT has been acting for his own benefit and for the benefit or in furtherance of the marital community.
- 9. BOBLETT, PCH and FAME may be referred to individually or, collectively, as "RESPONDENTS" as the context so requires.
- 10. BOBLETT (CRD#2209980) has not been a registered salesmen since November 2000 and has not been a registered dealer. At all times relevant, RESPONDENTS were not registered with the Commission as dealers or salesmen.

III.

FACTS

- 11. Beginning on or about March 2006 to at least December 2007, RESPONDENTS offered and/or sold unregistered securities in the form of investment contracts, shares of stock and promissory notes to at least six investors, including at least one Arizona investor.
- RESPONDENTS raised at least \$500,000 from investors.

12. The underlying nature of the investment contracts varied and included investments in companies that produced a product known as a "Kickstand", beverages, and digitized film (collectively referred to as the "Investments.").

A. KICKSTAND

- 13. BOBLETT offered at least one investor an investment contract that BOBLETT called shares of stock in a company that made a "Kickstand." BOBLETT represented to at least one investor that the "Kickstand" was a device designed to hold a guitar.
- 14. BOBLETT promised at least one investor a rate of return of 150% on this investment.
- 15. BOBLETT instructed at least one investor to make the funds payable to "Pacific Coast Holdings/Venture Fund." PCH received the investor funds in a bank account opened and controlled by BOBLETT.
- 16. The investors provided the investment funds but otherwise had no involvement in the investment.
 - 17. BOBLETT failed to provide the investor with any disclosures prior to investing.

B. <u>BEVERAGE COMPANY</u>

- 18. BOBLETT offered shares of stock in a beverage company by offering different investors different types of securities with different terms.
- 19. BOBLETT offered at least one investor the opportunity to purchase shares of stock in the beverage company. BOBLETT provided to at least one investor a business plan for the beverage company. BOBLETT represented to at least one investor that he was an investment banker raising funds for the beverage company.
- 20. BOBLETT offered shares of stock in a beverage company to at least one investor by promising to return the original investment funds, plus the investor would be able to keep the shares of stock. BOBLETT induced this investment by telling the investor that a shareholder

needed to liquidate the holdings in the beverage company. The investor received neither the return of the funds nor the shares of stock.

- 21. BOBLETT offered to at least one investor a promised return of 25% within 30 to 60 days if the investor would allow BOBLETT to borrow funds in order for BOBLETT to purchase shares of stock in the beverage company at a reduced price. BOBLETT failed to return the funds as promised.
- 22. BOBLETT offered to at least one investor an opportunity to become part of an investment group wherein BOBLETT would pool funds from those in the investment group to purchase shares of stock in a beverage company. BOBLETT failed to purchase the shares of stock in the beverage company.
- BOBLETT offered at least one investor a promissory note in exchange for funds so BOBLETT could invest in the beverage company by purchasing shares of stock. The promissory note was for a term of one month at an interest rate of 8%. BOBLETT failed to return the funds as promised.
- 24. BOBLETT instructed the investors to make the funds payable to "Pacific Coast Holdings/SIP," "SIP/PCH," "Pacific Coast Holding/Sudden Impact Partners," and "Pacific Coast Holding Company." PCH received the investor funds in bank accounts opened and controlled by BOBLETT.

C. FAME

- 25. BOBLETT, on behalf of FAME, offered at least one investor an investment contract that he called shares of stock in FAME even though FAME is a limited liability corporation.
- 26. BOBLETT offered at least one investor the opportunity to invest in FAME by representing that FAME was affiliated with the beverage company and that FAME investors would have more voting power in the beverage company if they invested with FAME. Additionally, BOBLETT represented to at least one investor that the purchase price of FAME had doubled;

///

///

however, BOBLETT offered investors an opportunity to purchase the shares of stock at the predoubling price thereby offering an instant 100% return on investment.

- 27. BOBLETT and FAME failed to disclose that FAME is a limited liability company controlled by BOBLETT and that FAME could not issue shares of stock.
- 28. BOBLETT and FAME misrepresented that FAME was associated with the beverage company when there was no affiliation.
- 29. BOBLETT instructed the investors to make the funds payable to "Pacific Coast Holding Company." PCH received the investor funds in bank accounts opened and controlled by BOBLETT.
- 30. The investors provided the investment funds but otherwise had no involvement in the investment.

D. <u>DIGITAL IMAGING INVESTMENT</u>

- 31. BOBLETT offered at least one investor an investment contract that BOBLETT called shares of stock in a limited liability company that digitized film.
- 32. To induce the investment, BOBLETT represented this company developed a revolutionary machine that would increase the speed at which film is digitized. The use of this revolutionary machine would translate into increased profits for the company and an increased value for each share of stock. Additionally, BOBLETT showed at least one investor a video of the revolutionary machine.
- 33. BOBLETT instructed the investors to make the funds payable to "Pacific Coast Holdings." PCH received the investor funds in bank accounts opened and controlled by BOBLETT.
- 34. The investors provided the investment funds but otherwise had no involvement in the investment.

E. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 35. A majority of the investors received unsigned subscription agreements (hereinafter "investor documents") in exchange for their investment funds indicating that they were purchasing shares of stock.
- 36. BOBLETT failed to invest the investor funds as promised to the investors. The investors neither received stock certificates nor are listed as shareholders in the companies.
- 37. RESPONDENTS expended investor funds for BOBLETT'S personal expenses without authorization from investors. RESPONDENTS failed to disclose to potential investors that investor funds will be expended for personal use.
- 38. RESPONDENTS failed to disclose to the investors risks associated with the investments.
- 39. The investors asked BOBLETT for the return of their investment funds. In response, BOBLETT signed an agreement with at least one investor agreeing to pay the promised investment returns, orally agreed to return the investment monies, or offered to sign a mutual release. BOBLETT failed to pay the investor as promised and did not return any of the investor monies.
- 40. The majority of investors who invested with RESPONDENTS lost their entire investment.

IV.

VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1841

(Offer and Sale of Unregistered Securities)

- 41. From on or about March 2006 to at least December 2007, Respondents offered or sold securities in the form of investment contracts, shares of stock and promissory notes within or from Arizona.
- 42. The securities referred to above are not registered pursuant to Articles 6 or 7 of the Securities Act.

- 1		
1	43.	This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1841.
2		V.
3		VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1842
4		(Transactions by Unregistered Dealers or Salesmen)
5	44.	Respondents offered or sold securities within or from Arizona while not registered as
6	dealers or sales	men pursuant to Article 9 of the Securities Act.
7	45.	This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1842.
8		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9		VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1991
10		(Fraud in Connection with the Offer or Sale of Securities)
l 1	46.	In connection with the offer or sale of securities within or from Arizona,
12	Respondents ar	e, directly or indirectly: (i) employing a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; (ii)
13	making untrue	statements of material fact or omitting to state material facts that are necessary in
14	order to make th	ne statements made not misleading in light of the circumstances under which they are
15	made; or (iii)	engaging in transactions, practices, or courses of business that operate or would
16	operate as a fra	ud or deceit upon offerees and investors. Respondents' conduct includes, but is not
17	limited to, the fo	ollowing:
18		Failing to inform investors that not all of their investment funds were
19	invested into the	e alleged Investments;
20	1	Failing to inform investors that investor funds would be used for personal
21	use or benefit;	
22		Failing to disclose to investors any risks associated with the alleged
23	Investments, in	cluding but not limited to the loss of the entire investment;
24	. (d) Failing to disclose the relationship between FAME and BOBLETT;
25		e) Misrepresenting that FAME was associated with the beverage company;
26		f) Misrepresenting to investors that FAME could issue stock; and

1	g) Misrepresenting to investors that they would make substantial profits by
2	investing in the alleged Investments.
3	47. This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1991.
4	VII.
5	REQUESTED RELIEF
6	The Division requests that the Commission grant the following relief:
7	1. Order Respondents to permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act
8	and, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032;
9	2. Order Respondents to take affirmative action to correct the conditions resulting from
10	Respondents' acts, practices, or transactions, including a requirement to make restitution pursuant to
11	A.R.S. § 44-2032;
12	3. Order Respondents to pay the state of Arizona administrative penalties of up to five
13	thousand dollars (\$5,000) for each violation of the Securities Act, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036;
14	4. Order that the marital communities of Respondents and Respondent Spouse be
15	subject to any order of restitution, rescission, administrative penalties, or other appropriate
16	affirmative action pursuant to A.R.S. § 25-215; and
17	5. Order any other relief that the Commission deems appropriate.
18	XIII.
19	HEARING OPPORTUNITY
20	Each Respondent, including Respondent Spouse may request a hearing pursuant to A.R.S.
21	§ 44-1972 and A.A.C. R14-4-306. If a Respondent or Respondent Spouse requests a hearing,
22	the requesting Respondent must also answer this Notice. A request for hearing must be in
23	writing and received by the Commission within 10 business days after service of this Notice of
24	Opportunity for Hearing. The requesting Respondent must deliver or mail the request to Docket
25	Control, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 W. Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007. Filing

instructions may be obtained from Docket Control by calling (602) 542-3477 or on the Commission's Internet web site at http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/hearings/docket.asp.

If a request for a hearing is timely made, the Commission shall schedule the hearing to begin 20 to 60 days from the receipt of the request unless otherwise provided by law, stipulated by the parties, or ordered by the Commission. If a request for a hearing is not timely made the Commission may, without a hearing, enter an order granting the relief requested by the Division in this Notice of Opportunity for Hearing.

Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation such as a sign language interpreter, as well as request this document in an alternative format, by contacting Linda Hogan, ADA Coordinator, voice phone number 602/542-3931, e-mail lhogan@azcc.gov. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

XIV.

ANSWER REQUIREMENT

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-305, if a Respondent or a Respondent Spouse requests a hearing, the requesting Respondent must deliver or mail an Answer to this Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Docket Control, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 W. Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, within 30 calendar days after the date of service of this Notice. Filing instructions may be obtained from Docket Control by calling (602) 542-3477 or on the Commission's Internet web site at http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/hearings/docket.asp.

Additionally, the answering Respondent must serve the Answer upon the Division. Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-303, service upon the Division may be made by mailing or by hand-delivering a copy of the Answer to the Division at 1300 West Washington, 3rd Floor, Phoenix, Arizona, 85007, addressed to Aikaterine Vervilos.

The Answer shall contain an admission or denial of each allegation in this Notice and the original signature of the answering Respondent or Respondent's attorney. A statement of a lack of

sufficient knowledge or information shall be considered a denial of an allegation. An allegation not denied shall be considered admitted.

When the answering Respondent intends in good faith to deny only a part or a qualification of an allegation, the Respondent shall specify that part or qualification of the allegation and shall admit the remainder. Respondent waives any affirmative defense not raised in the answer.

The officer presiding over the hearing may grant relief from the requirement to file an Answer for good cause shown.

Dated this / day of August 2008.

Matthew J. Neubert Director of Securities